1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 CASE NO. 3:22-cv-05171-DGE-RJB JASON and HOLLIE CARPENTER, 11 Plaintiffs, ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR 12 SUMMARY JUDGMENT v. 13 AMERICAN FAMILY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, SI, 14 Defendant. 15 16 This matter comes before the Court on the above-referenced motions (Dkts. 43 and 49). 17 The Court has read, and re-read, all documents filed in support of and in opposition to the 18 motions. The request for oral argument (Dkt. 49) would not be helpful to the Court and is 19 denied. 20 Motions for Summary Judgment are covered by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(a): 21 A party may move for summary judgment, identifying each claim or 22 defense - or the part of each claim or defense - on which summary judgment is sought. The court shall grant summary judgment. If the movant shows that there 23 is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to a 24

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1

1 2

judgment as a matter of law. The Court should state on the record the reasons for granting or denying the motion.

A careful examination of the records in this case, filed by both parties, leads to the conclusion that both motions for summary judgment should be denied. Voluminous briefs and affidavits were filed, and the Court has spent many hours trying to understand the legal positions, and whether there are "no genuine disputes as to any material fact" in the showings made. The Court is satisfied that all alleged material facts are successfully challenged by the opposition party, except, perhaps, the basic issues of issuance of the subject insurance policy to Plaintiffs and the fire causing damage on March 20, 2021.

The arguments from both sides are replete with issues difficult to decide on summary judgment, such as elements of personal intent and reasonable actions, substantial compliance, disfavored forfeitures, prejudice shown, breaching good faith requirements, material misrepresentations, failure to cooperate, intentional misrepresentations, knowledge of false information, fraud, reasonable or understandable actions of insured and insurer, frivolousness, and reasonableness of claim investigation and of insured duties. Many of these issues are material, but must be decided after individual testimony of witnesses, and are very difficult to decide based on paper arguments on summary judgment.

The briefs and showings from both sides were unreasonably unorganized. It was very difficult to discern specific claims and defenses and to follow the necessary factual elements to reach conclusions. This was a mess, but it is clear to the Court that there were no unchallenged material facts in issue.

The Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 43) and Defendant American Family's Motion for Summary Judgment (Dkt. 49) should be DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address.

Dated this 23rd day of May, 2024.

ROBERT J. BRYAN

United States District Judge